



Centre for International
Economic Studies

**Discussion Paper
No. 0503**

**Have Exchange Rate Regimes in Asia Become
More Flexible Post Crisis? Re-visiting the
Evidence**

Tony Cavoli and Ramkishen S. Rajan

January 2005

International Macroeconomics and Finance Program

**University of Adelaide
Adelaide 5005 Australia**

CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC STUDIES

The Centre was established in 1989 by the Economics Department of the Adelaide University to strengthen teaching and research in the field of international economics and closely related disciplines. Its specific objectives are:

- to promote individual and group research by scholars within and outside the Adelaide University
- to strengthen undergraduate and post-graduate education in this field
- to provide shorter training programs in Australia and elsewhere
- to conduct seminars, workshops and conferences for academics and for the wider community
- to publish and promote research results
- to provide specialised consulting services
- to improve public understanding of international economic issues, especially among policy makers and shapers

Both theoretical and empirical, policy-oriented studies are emphasised, with a particular focus on developments within, or of relevance to, the Asia-Pacific region. The Centre's Director is Reza Y. Siregar (reza.siregar@adelaide.edu.au).

Further details and a list of publications are available from:

Executive Assistant
CIES
School of Economics
Adelaide University
SA 5005 AUSTRALIA
Telephone: (+61 8) 8303 5672
Facsimile: (+61 8) 8223 1460
Email: cies@adelaide.edu.au

Most publications can be downloaded from our Home page:
<http://www.adelaide.edu.au/cies/>

ISSN 1445-3746 series, electronic publication

CIES DISCUSSION PAPER 0503**HAVE EXCHANGE RATE REGIMES IN ASIA BECOME
MORE FLEXIBLE POST CRISIS? RE-VISITING THE
EVIDENCE****by****Tony Cavoli* and Ramkishen S. Rajan****January 2005

* School of Economics, University of Adelaide, Australia. E-mail:
tony.cavoli@adelaide.edu.au

** LKY School of Public Policy, National University of Singapore. E-mail:
r.rrajan@nus.edu.sg

HAVE EXCHANGE RATE REGIMES IN ASIA BECOME MORE FLEXIBLE POST CRISIS? RE-VISITING THE EVIDENCE

Abstract

There is a broad consensus that the soft US dollar pegs operated by a number of Asian countries prior to 1997 contributed to the regional financial crisis of 1997-98. There is, however, much less agreement on the types of exchange rate regimes operated by many Asian countries since the crisis. Can they still be characterized as soft US dollar pegs, or have they become genuinely more flexible? This paper revisits the evidence regarding the extent of exchange rate flexibility in the five Asian countries (Indonesia, Korea, Malaysia, the Philippines and Thailand) post crisis using alternative methodologies and data up to mid 2004. Using alternative methodologies is critical as different measures or parameters could lead to diametrically opposite conclusions regarding the type of exchange rate regime operated by a country.

Keywords: Asia, exchange rate regime, inflation targeting, interest rates, reserves, soft dollar peg

1. Introduction

There is a broad consensus that the soft US dollar pegs operated by a number of Asian countries prior to 1997 contributed to the regional financial crisis of 1997-98. There is, however, much less agreement on the types of exchange rate regimes operated by many Asian countries since the crisis. To be sure, among the crisis-hit countries, the Malaysian ringgit has been unambiguously fixed to the US dollar (at 3.80 Malaysian Ringgit per US dollar) since September 1998. In contrast, the four other crisis-hit countries, viz. Indonesia, Korea, the Philippines and Thailand, officially proclaimed to have floated their exchange rates while adopting a monetary policy strategy based on inflation targeting (see Table 1 and Cavoli and Rajan, 2005).

There is a burgeoning literature documenting that there can be a significant divergence between *de facto* and *de jure* exchange rate policies and regimes. Just how flexible have exchange rates in Asia become post crisis? Can they still be characterized as soft US dollar pegs as suggested by Calvo and Reinhart (2002), Fukuda (2002) and McKinnon (2001), or have they become genuinely more flexible as suggested by Baig (2001), Hernández and Montiel (2001), Kawai (2002), and others¹.

At a first glance, Figure 1 reveals that exchange rates do indeed appear to have become more flexible in recent years for all the countries except Malaysia. Even if the Asian currencies have become more flexible, what form has the flexibility taken, i.e. free floating, managed floating, basket pegging, etc? The extent and form of flexibility of Asian currencies post crisis is not solely of academic interest, being directly related

¹ Of course, apart from differences in methodologies and estimating techniques, divergences in results could be because of different time periods and frequency of data used (daily, monthly or quarterly).

to the ongoing debate on the need for global macroeconomic adjustments and the manner in which such adjustments are to be attained (Rajan, 2004, 2005).

This paper revisits the evidence regarding the extent of exchange rate flexibility in the five Asian countries post crisis using alternative methodologies and data up to mid 2004. Using assorted methodologies is critical as different measures or parameters could lead to diametrically opposite conclusions regarding the type of exchange rate regime operated by a country.

An important caveat is in order before proceeding. There are a number of recent papers on the topic of *de facto* regime classification -- for instance, see Bénassy-Quéré et al. (2004), Bubula and Otker-Robe (2002, 2003), Frankel et al. (2001), Calvo and Reinhart (2002), Kim (2003), Levy-Yeyati and Sturzenegger (2002), Reinhart and Rogoff (2002) and Shambaugh (2004). This paper does not concern itself with actually classifying exchange rate regimes, but instead concentrates on detecting possible regime changes in the five Asian countries pre and post crisis.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 examines the *de facto* regimes by investigating the unconditional volatilities of exchange rates, interest rates and international reserves using monthly data for the period 1990 to 2004. It also conducts more formal tests to ascertain the degree of exchange rate flexibility and the extent of intervention employed to control the volatility of the currency for the period January 1990 to June 2004. The focus is on the difference in the variability of exchange rates, interest rates and international reserves in each Asian country pre and post crisis, as well as between the Asian countries and noted 'floaters' (Australia, New Zealand, Canada, UK and USA) post crisis². Section 3 computes a set of exchange market

² The monthly data are from the IMF-IFS CD and from the ADB-ARIC database from January 1990 to June 2004. Exchange rates per US dollar are taken from line *RF* of IFS, exchange rates

pressure (EMP) indices to provide a summary measure of the degree of flexibility (or inversely, the degree of intervention). Section 4 presents more formal tests on the extent to which each of the currencies examined have been pegged to the US dollar and to the Japanese yen using variations of the methodology pioneered by Frankel and Wei (1994). Section 5 offers a summary and some concluding remarks.

2. Pre and Post Crisis Behaviour of Exchange Rates, Interest Rates and Reserves

We attempt to do two things in this section. One, we investigate the behaviour of exchange rates, interest rates and reserves for the crisis-affected countries using monthly data for the period 1990 to 2004. The nexus between the volatilities of exchange rates, interest rates and reserves is important from a policy perspective in that it offers insight into whether central banks used interest rates or reserves to manage currency movements. Two, in order to assist with the comparison, we split the sample into the pre crisis and post crisis sub-samples. The volatilities of exchange rates, interest rates and reserves for the pre and post crisis samples are compared for each country and between the crisis-hit countries and the known ‘floaters’ of Australia, New Zealand, Canada, UK and USA (as defined by Calvo and Reinhart, 2002).

2.1 Standard Deviations of Exchange Rates, Interest Rates and Reserves

Figures 2a to 2c present annual (calendar year) standard deviations of monthly percentage changes in exchange rates for the crisis-affected countries³.

per yen are calculated from the US/yen rate, and real effective exchange rate (REERs) are from the ADB-ARIC database. Reserves data are taken from lines *11*, *14* and *16c* of IFS, and interest rates are taken from line *60B* of IFS.

³ The standard deviations for 2004 are for the first half of the year (January to June).

The extreme volatility of the exchange rates during the crisis of 1997-98 notwithstanding, the exchange rate volatilities in Korea, Thailand and Indonesia are significantly higher in the post crisis period, while there is no volatility of the ringgit against the US dollar, as would be expected (Figure 1). The differences in variability for the Philippines seem economically insignificant when eyeballing the data. Exchange rate volatility of the regional currencies against the yen does not appear to have increased discernibly pre and post crisis, except possibly for Indonesia (Figure 2b). The results for the real effective exchange rates (REERs) show similar but not as marked differences between the two periods compared to the volatilities of local currencies per US dollars (Figure 2c). Overall, the exchange rate volatilities offer some indicative initial evidence to support the claim that exchange rate regimes in Korea, the Philippines and Thailand have become more flexible post crisis.

It well known that unconditional exchange rate volatility alone cannot adequately describe the currency regime adopted by a country. This is because central banks could use interest rates and reserves as policy instruments to help actively manage or influence currency movements. Accordingly, in order to present a more complete account of the possible change of regime (i.e. degree of conditional exchange rate flexibility), the volatilities of interest rates and reserves must also be taken into account. Specifically, a regime considered to be less flexible will have relatively low exchange rate volatility, *ceteris paribus*⁴. If, in the event of relatively low exchange rate

⁴ The *ceteris paribus* condition is, of course, critical as the implicit assumption is that there is no substantive change in the external environment. In order for a full analysis to be undertaken we would need to estimate a monetary model or a related model that is able to capture the external factors that might have an impact on the exchange rate. The problems with fundamentals-based models of exchange rates are well known and do not need to be repeated here. Also see Willett (2004) for a useful discussion of the issue of trends versus volatilities when attempting to decipher exchange rate behaviour.

volatility and where reserve volatility is high but interest rate volatility is low, then it might be posited that reserves are the primary policy instrument (i.e. exchange rate intervention). If reserve volatility is low but interest rate volatility is high, then plausibly, interest rates might be the primary instrument for stabilizing the currency (Reinhart, 2000)⁵.

Figure 3 examines the money market interest rates in annual standard deviation of monthly first differences. As is apparent, interest rates are clearly less volatile after the crisis, particularly for Korea, Thailand and the Philippines⁶.

Figure 4 shows the annual standard deviations of monthly percentage differences in foreign reserves scaled by lagged base money. First differences of reserves are taken rather than actual levels to account for possible unit root in reserves data. Specifically, we know that Korea and other Asian countries (except the Philippines) have been accumulating reserves since 1998, a reflection of the fact that the currencies have been undervalued (Kim et al., 2004 and Hernández and Montiel, 2001). However, we are interested here in the management of volatility as opposed to management of the value of the exchange rate. In addition, reserves are scaled by lagged domestic monetary base in order to compare the magnitude of the reserve change in relation to the stock of money base in the system. Since reserves are used to alter relative monies, scaling the change in reserves offers some information about the proportion of the money base that is being used for intervention. The differences in reserve volatility between the pre and post crisis periods are not easily detectable for

⁵ We are abstracting here from issues relating to sterilization of reserve intervention.

⁶ Money market rates (IFS line 60B) are used as they appear to adequately represent the policy rate and offer sufficient volatility for the purposes of analysis.

most countries. Korea is a notable exception where it seems that reserves volatility has increased significantly post crisis (also see Willett, 2004).

Comparing Figures 2a and 2c, it can be seen, at least for the local currency per US dollar and the REERs, that exchange rate volatility is higher post crisis, and that interest rates have become less volatile. The implication regarding the volatility of reserves is harder to categorically determine. The conclusion is that the exchange rate regimes for Korea, Thailand, Indonesia and the Philippines have become more flexible post crisis. The reverse is true for Malaysia. However, this conclusion is clouded somewhat by the volatility of reserves, where there is little evidence to support a conclusion of increased flexibility. In fact, Korea seems to be using reserves more aggressively after the crisis than before, while the volatility of international reserves does not appear to have materially decreased post crisis for Thailand and the Philippines.

2.2 Pre versus Post crisis Volatilities and Comparison with Known Floaters

Table 2 presents the standard deviations of exchange rates, interest rates and reserve changes as before for the five Asian countries and for the known floaters for the pre and post crisis sample periods. We define the pre crisis sample as spanning the period 1990:1 to 1997:3, while the post crisis sample period is 1999:6 to 2004:6⁷. We aim to do two things here. First, we compare the relative volatilities in a single country

⁷ Thus, we define the crisis period as being between 1997:4 and 1999:5. There is, admittedly, a degree of ad-hocism in the choice of these periods. For instance, Hernández and Montiel (2001) Taguchi (2004) take the post crisis period to be 1991:1. Our choice of 1999:5 as being the end of the crisis is derived from simple robustness tests -- we found that, by and large, the post crisis results were reasonably robust as we kept working backwards from the end of the sample and expanding the sample size until 1999:6, beyond which the results began to change (quite significantly in some cases).

over the two sample periods. Second, we compare the post crisis samples of the five Asian countries with the known floaters.

A comparison of each sample confirms the conclusions of the previous section. Irrespective of how the exchange rate is expressed (i.e. vis-à-vis the US dollar, yen or REER), its volatility after the crisis increased for Korea, Thailand and Indonesia, decreased for Malaysia, and remained more-or-less stable (with a bias to a slight decrease) in the Philippines. Correspondingly, interest rate and reserve volatility decreased after the crisis for the most part, although there are a few important exceptions. The first relates to interest rates in Indonesia. Unlike in the other countries, they have become more variable after the crisis. Along with a post crisis reduction in reserve volatility, this suggests that interest rates are possibly used more frequently as a policy instrument⁸. The second exception is the increase in reserve volatility in Korea. Is this an indication of some desire to continue to use reserves as an exchange rate management tool?

As in Baig (2001) and Calvo and Reinhart (2002) and others, we compare the post crisis volatilities for the Asian countries and the known floaters. For the most part the exchange rate variation is lower for those countries in the Asian sample than for the floaters. The interest rate volatility in the floaters is also lower, suggesting that they are less inclined to intervene using interest rate policy. (Interest rate smoothing appears to be a more important objective among industrial countries). With regard to the volatility

⁸ Of course, it could also be that the market risk element of interest rates (i.e. risk premium) has become more volatile as well.

of reserves, it appears that New Zealand is an outlier here, and that the floaters possess less variation in reserves⁹.

Thus, the simple analysis undertaken thus far leads to the conclusion that, with the exception of Malaysia, the Asian countries have moved towards more flexible exchange rates. However, the Asian currencies are clearly far less flexible than the known floaters, suggesting some degree of continued market intervention to stabilize the exchange rate¹⁰. Results of this nature have led many to hypothesize about a possible “Fear of Floating” in some emerging market economies (for instance, see Baig, 2001 and Calvo and Reinhart, 2002).

3. Exchange Market Pressure (EMP) Indices

3.1 Defining the Indices

As discussed, it is important to simultaneously consider the three variables (viz. exchange rates, interest rates and reserve changes) to obtain a proper perspective on the extent of exchange rate flexibility (or conversely, the extent of intervention). One way of incorporating all these variables would be to compute an exchange rate pressure (EMP) index. This section presents two sets of simple EMP indices based on Baig (2001), Bayoumi and Eichengreen (1998), Glick and Wihlborg (1997) and Calvo and Reinhart (2002):

⁹ New Zealand is an interesting case in that it has not chosen to hold its own reserves, the bulk of its reserves having been borrowed. However, the Reserve Bank of New Zealand (RBNZ) has recently taken steps to bolster its capacity to intervene in the foreign exchange market to influence the level of the New Zealand dollar in certain circumstances.

¹⁰ Of course, it could also be that the floaters are faced with a different set of shocks to the Asian countries.

$$\text{Index 1} = \sigma_{ER} / (\sigma_{ER} + \sigma_{NFA}) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Index 2} = \sigma_{ER} / (\sigma_{ER} + \sigma_{NFA} + \sigma_{IR}) \quad (2)$$

where σ_{ER} is the annual standard deviation of monthly (log) percentage difference in the exchange rate, σ_{IR} is the annual standard deviation of monthly first differences in money market rates, and σ_{NFA} is the annual standard deviation of monthly percentage difference in reserves (Net Foreign Assets/Lagged Money Base). All standard deviations are calculated as in the previous sections.

While there are a number of different types of EMP indices (for instance, see Guimãeres and Karacadag, 2004), the particular set of indices were chosen because they are easily aligned with the discussion of the previous section about the role of interest rates and/or reserves as policy instruments. For instance, a low index value in this instance may imply less exchange rate flexibility or a higher level of intervention. Other things being equal, higher reserve volatility will reduce the index value, possibly suggesting that reserves are being employed as a monetary policy tool in order to limit exchange rate flexibility.

Index 1 measures the possible effects of reserve intervention but ignores the effects of interest rates. Baig (2001) and Bayoumi and Eichengreen (1998) are primarily concerned with this type of index as interest rate movements contain market as well as policy determinants¹¹. While this is true, the same can be said of reserves data – which

¹¹ Willett (2004) uses a measure referred to as the “intervention index”, which is merely *Index 1*, i.e. $\sigma_{NFA} / (\sigma_{ER} + \sigma_{NFA})$.

are not cleansed of currency valuation changes¹². It may be worth evaluating the effects of interest rate based intervention in light of the move by some Asian central banks towards inflation targeting and the use of interest rate rules (Cavoli and Rajan, 2005). Hence, Index 2 is a generalized index capturing both reserve and interest rate intervention. By construction, each index presents values bounded by 0 and 1, and the weights attributable to each variable in the denominator of the index are equal.¹³

3.1 Interpreting the Results

As in the previous section, three measures of the exchange rate are used, viz. local against the US dollar, the yen, and the REER. The results are presented in Figures 5 and 6. Figures 5a to 5c show Index 1 for the US dollar, yen and REER, respectively. Figures 6a to 6c show Index 2 for the US dollar, yen and REER, respectively

Focussing on Index 1, an examination of Figures 5a to 5c tends to confirm that, pre-crisis, there was a greater inclination on the part of central banks to intervene in the market against the US dollar, most so in the case of Indonesia and Thailand. Both these countries appear to have become fairly flexible post crisis as evidenced by the rise in their respective EMPs, especially vis-à-vis the US dollar. Less obvious results are obtained in the case of the Philippines, while the Malaysian ringgit has become completely inflexible vis-à-vis the US dollar. Somewhat surprisingly, after a period of

¹² Cleansing the data to focus only on reserves change due to policy intervention rather than valuation changes is not possible as most countries do not provide data on the currency composition of reserves.

¹³ The calculation of weights in indices of this type is a critical feature of the literature on EMP. In some cases theory is used as the basis for determining the weights (for instance, see Girton and Roper, 1977), while in other cases, empirical methods are employed to select the weights (for instance, Pentecost et al., 2001 make use of principal components analysis).

flirting with floatation, the Korean won appears to be becoming less flexible against the US dollar.

Looking at the local currency per yen, pre crisis the regional currencies appeared to have been fairly flexible vis-à-vis the yen. This suggests that local central banks allowed their currencies values relative to the yen to be determined by the yen/US rate, so-called “third currency phenomenon”. Thus, prior to 1997, if regional countries had given greater weight to the yen in their baskets pre crisis, there would have been lower degrees of regional real exchange rate overvaluations following the nearly 50 percent nominal appreciation of the US dollar relative to the yen between June 1995 to April 1997 (which in turn led to a rise in the value of the regional currencies relative to the yen) (Bird and Rajan, 2002 and Rajan, 2002). Post crisis, while there does not appear to be any discernible change in the degree of flexibility of the Indonesian rupiah, the Philippine peso, and the Malaysia ringgit vis-à-vis the yen post crisis, the Korean won has become relatively less flexible vis-à-vis the yen post crisis¹⁴, while the Thai baht has become more so.

Based on the foregoing analysis, as would be expected, while the Thai baht has become more flexible in REER terms, the won seems to have become less so. Indeed, comparing Figures 5a, 5b and 5c, it is apparent that while the EMP of the Korean won vis-à-vis the US dollar was lower than the yen or the REER pre crisis, post crisis its EMP vis-à-vis the REER was lower than the other two. This suggests that while the won may have been heavily managed relative to the US dollar prior to the crisis, there is some evidence to suggest it has become more managed relative to a basket (involving the yen and US dollar), such that the won’s REER is relatively stable.

¹⁴ Oh (2004) also finds that the Korean won has displayed increasing co-movements with the yen post crisis.

How robust are these results? If one examines Figures 6a to 6c (using Index 2), we reach the same conclusion that the regional currencies with the exception of the Malaysian ringgit have become more flexible vis-à-vis the US dollar post crisis. As discussed above, the Korean won appears to be reverting to a soft dollar peg. Interestingly, however, the further conclusion that the won may be more heavily pegged to the REER than the US dollar post crisis no longer holds. The reason for this is the rise in the Korean won's EMP post crisis relative to the yen compared to the previous conclusion of a decline (compare Figure 5b and 6b). However, the finding that the Thai baht has become relatively more flexible in general (relative to the US dollar, the yen and in REER terms) continues to hold.

4. Extent of Influence of the US Dollar and the Yen in Asian Currencies

One of the main results from the previous two sections is that the extent of intervention in the US dollar has decreased for the most part, but there appears to be a reversion to a US dollar peg in some instances, particularly in the case of Korea. However, there is some degree of uncertainty as to whether the Korean won is following (pegged to?) the yen more closely post crisis. This section presents two sets of formal tests (OLS and Kalman Filter based estimates) to ascertain the degree to which local currencies have been and continue to be influenced by the US dollar and by the yen

4.1 Simple OLS Regressions

The first set of tests is based on the well-known work by Frankel and Wei (1994). The method essentially involves conducting an OLS test of the local currency on other currencies that are considered to influence the former. Each currency is expressed in terms of an ‘independent’ numeraire. The equation examined is as follows:

$$LC_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 US_t + \beta_2 JP_t + \mu_t \quad (3)$$

where LC refers to the local currency. All currencies are expressed in log differences and the numeraire currency used is the Swiss franc. As with the empirical results in the previous section, the pre crisis sample is 1990:1 to 1997:3 and the post crisis sample is 1999:6 to 2004:6.

Table 3 presents the pre and post crisis values of β_1 and β_2 for Korea, Thailand, Indonesia, and the Philippines¹⁵. Only the pre crisis regressions are presented for Malaysia given the country’s stated post crisis rigid fix to the US dollar. The coefficient values are interpreted as the degree of influence of the US dollar and yen, respectively, on the local currency. A larger β value is suggestive of a high degree of influence of the US dollar, and hence possible intervention in the market for that currency. This said, it is important to note that a large positive and significant coefficient on β_1 does not necessarily imply strong US dollar pegs. As Hernández and Montiel (2001) note “(such) results are consistent either with a tight peg against the U.S. dollar...or with a much looser currency link to the dollar combined with tight economic links to the dollar area and a relative absence of independent shocks during the sample period”.

¹⁵ When interpreting the significance levels of the coefficient estimates it is important to be aware of the possible existence of multicollinearity in models of this type.

The results based on the simple OLS in Table 3 reveal that the value of β_I has fallen after the crisis. By and large, this validates the results from the previous sections in that the degree of flexibility against the US dollar has increased after the crisis. Not only has the value fallen, but the level of significance has declined as well, possibly an indication of a reduction in the tightness of the peg to the US dollar. Also noteworthy is the increase in the degree of influence of the yen after the crisis. This is noticeable across-the-board. It should be noted though that the significance levels are lower for the yen than for the US dollar. This is broadly consistent with the results in Section 3, whereby the EMPs of the currencies using the US dollar have generally risen post crisis and have fallen relative to the yen, but the former still exceeds the latter.

4.2 Kalman Filter Estimations

The relative degree of significance between the US dollar and the yen can be explored further by applying the Kalman Filter to the regressions¹⁶. Such regressions allow for the coefficient's evolution to be tracked over the entire sample. The model used is as follows:

$$LC_t = \beta_0 + \beta_{1t}US_t + \beta_{2t}JP_t + \mu_t \quad (3)$$

$$\beta_{1t} = \beta_{1t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \quad (4)$$

$$\beta_{2t} = \beta_{2t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t} \quad (5)$$

Equation (3) once again describes the measurement equation of the system, but each coefficient is assumed to vary over time, the evolution of which is given by Equations

¹⁶ Cuthbertson et al. (1992) discuss Kalman Filter methods in an exchange rate determination model.

(4) and (5). This particular simple version of the Kalman Filter method applies a recursive algorithm to estimate the value of each β at each iteration. The result is that the evolution of each β can be examined for the pre crisis and post crisis periods without the need to split the sample¹⁷.

One of the advantages of the Kalman Filter technique over the simple Frankel-Wei OLS tests is that the volatility of a coefficient can be observed over time. This may offer us greater insight into central bank behaviour. A smooth time path of the coefficient might imply that the central bank intervenes to maintain the influence of one currency over the other. A high but erratic coefficient value possibly implies a strong correlation that is not necessarily brought about by central bank behaviour. Rather, it could imply a strong correlation that occurs naturally in the market for that particular currency pair, driven by market conditions, trader behaviour or noise.

Figure 6 shows the one-step ahead forecasts of β_1 and β_2 (for the US dollar and the yen) at each iteration over the sample period 1990:1 to 2004:6 for the five Asian countries. As with the EMP indices, the crisis period is easy to detect for both the US dollar and the yen. The results lend weight to those of the previous section in that the won, baht, and rupiah are all seemingly less influenced by the US dollar after the crisis. For Korea and Thailand, the value of β_1 is more volatile post crisis. Volatility of the coefficient values over time might possibly be interpreted as a loosening of the degree of influence of a particular currency over the local currency -- perhaps a reflection of a loosening of a peg to that currency. This is consistent with Kim and Lee (2004) who

¹⁷ The β s are assumed to follow a random walk and the covariance matrix of the measurement and the transition equation is diagonal. This is the usual practise (see Cuthbertson et al., 1992 for a discussion).

find that Thai and Korean interest rates have become less sensitive to US interest rates post crisis, suggesting greater flexibility of these currencies relative to the US dollar.

As expected, the β_1 coefficient for Malaysia is 1 after the crisis. Interestingly, the influence of the yen (β_2) is more volatile after the crisis for Thailand and higher in value for Korea and Indonesia, but also more volatile, especially for Korea. The results for the Philippines accord to those in the last section. There appears to be little difference in the influence of the US dollar or the yen between the pre and post crisis periods.

Figure 7 presents the time variation of β_1 and β_2 on the same graph for each country. It can be seen here that, in general, the influence of the US dollar has decreased after the crisis, but that the influence of the yen has increased. For Korea, there is a sizeable difference between the influence of the dollar and that of the yen before the crisis. After the crisis, there is evidence of convergence, as the coefficient for β_1 has decreased and β_2 increased. This is consistent with the conclusions drawn based on the EMP using Index 1, but not Index 2. The extent to which the baht is driven by the dollar is more erratic post crisis and is matched by the yen. This is in line with the conclusions in the previous section which suggest that the baht may have become more flexible vis-à-vis both the yen and the US dollar post crisis. Indonesia's coefficient for the US dollar is relatively smooth compared to the yen, suggesting a possible inclination to continue to fix to the US dollar. The comparative results for the Philippines show that while the degree of influence of the US dollar may be high, it is not smooth. This is representative of a scenario where a high correlation does not necessarily imply a peg. The yen maintains a small influence over the Philippine peso. Needless to say, the

results for Malaysia are as expected, the ringgit being influenced entirely by the US dollar post crisis.

5. Concluding Remarks

This paper has reviewed the pre and post crisis exchange rate regimes for Korea, Thailand, Indonesia, Malaysia and the Philippines. The *de jure* regimes for Korea, Thailand and Indonesia seem to suggest that exchange rates underwent a transition from soft US dollar pegs to floating exchange rates (cum inflation targeting) after the crisis. Malaysia's regime reverted to a fully fixed exchange rate vis-à-vis the US dollar since September 1998. The Philippines, which was least impacted by the crisis, maintained its status as operating a 'dirty' floating exchange rate regime.

We return to our basic question posed in the Introduction -- Have the Asian countries (except Malaysia) moved to more flexible exchange rate regimes, or have they reverted to soft US dollar pegs post crisis? From the various measures of *de facto* regimes presented in this paper, it appears that there is definitely an increase in exchange rate flexibility after the crisis in the case of Thailand. There is some evidence of a possible reversion to a US dollar peg for Indonesia (also see Siregar and Rajan, 2003). The results for Korea are arguably most interesting in that they suggest that while there is still a significant and possibly increasing degree of influence by the US dollar on local currencies after the crisis, the influence of the yen has increased materially post crisis. However, the variability of this influence has also increased. As such, it is unclear whether the Korean monetary authorities are consciously placing more weight to the yen in managing the Korean won as suggested by Taguchi (2004), or

they have genuinely let the currency float and the market has caused a higher co-movement between local currencies and the yen. This is an area for future research¹⁸.

Going forward, in a world of generalized flexible exchange rates among the major currencies, there may be a case for Asian currencies to consider pegging to a basket of currencies (Bird and Rajan, 2002 and Rajan, 2002). By managing exchange rate changes against a composite bundle of currencies (that is, stabilizing the 'effective' exchange rate), countries may be able to buffer themselves against outside exchange rate shocks (such as G-3 currency variations) and neutralize this source of instability. Such a 'band-basket-crawl' or BBC arrangement may not only be an attractive regime for countries that have embraced more flexibility post crisis like Korea and Thailand, but also US dollar 'fixers' in Asia like China, Hong Kong and Malaysia¹⁹. Indonesia, which appears to have had difficulties with implementing an inflation targeting regime and may be reverting to a soft dollar peg, could also consider such a regime. While such an arrangement is no panacea against unsustainable macroeconomic policies and extreme external shocks, it may be a way of trading off the disciplinary and credibility benefits of a pegged regime with the flexibility of a floating one.

¹⁸ Eichengreen (2004) and Willett (2004) explore Korean exchange rate and monetary policies in some detail. However, neither specifically addresses or entangles the issue of the won-yen nexus. Also see Oh (2004).

¹⁹ India and Singapore are two Asian countries that currently operate slightly different versions of the BBC. For discussions of the Singapore experience with managed floating, see Rajan and Siregar (2002) and Hoe Ee et al. (2004). For a discussion of Indian exchange rate policy, see Patnaik (2003).

Bibliography

Baig T., 2001, Characterizing Exchange Rate Regimes Post-Crisis East Asia, Working Paper No.01/152, IMF.

Bayoumi, T., Eichengreen, B., 1998, Exchange Rate Volatility Intervention: Implications of the Theory of Optimum Currency, *Journal of International Economics*, 45, pp.191-209.

Bénassy-Quéré, A., Coeuré, B., Mignon, V., 2004, On the Identification of De Facto Currency, mimeo (November).

Bird, G., Rajan, R., 2002, Optimal Currency Baskets and the Third Currency Phenomenon: Exchange Rate Policy in Southeast Asia, *Journal of International Development*, 14, pp.1053-1073.

Bubula, A., Otker-Robe, I., 2002, The Evolution of Exchange Rate Regimes Since 1990: Evidence from De Facto Policies, Working Paper No.02/155, IMF.

Bubula, A., Otker-Robe, I., 2003, Are Pegged and Intermediate Exchange Rate Regimes More Crisis Prone?, Working Paper No.03/223, IMF.

Calvo, G., Reinhart, C., 2002, Fear of Floating, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117, pp.379-408.

Cavoli, T., Rajan, R., 2005, Inflation Targeting and Monetary Policy Rules for Small and Open Developing Economies: Simple Analytics with Application to Thailand, Working Paper No.SPP-05-05, School of Public Policy, National University of Singapore.

Cuthbertson, K., Hall, S., Taylor, M., 1992, *Applied Econometric Techniques*, Harvester Wheatsheaf: UK

Eichengreen, B., 2004, Monetary and Exchange Rate Policy in Korea: Assessments and Policy Issues, prepared for a symposium at the Bank of Korea (Seoul: August).

Frankel, J. and S.J. Wei, 1994, Yen Bloc or Dollar Bloc? Exchange Rate Policies The East Asian Economies, in: Ito, T., and Krueger, A., (Eds.), *Macroeconomic Linkage: Savings, Exchange Rates, and Capital Flows*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Fukuda, S., 2002, Post-Crisis Exchange Rate Regimes in East Asia, mimeo (November).

Frankel, J., Fajnzylber, E., Schmukler, S., Servén, L., 2001, Verifying Exchange Rate Regimes, *Journal of Development Economics* 66, pp.351-386.

Girton, L., Roper, D., 1977, A Monetary Model of Exchange Market Pressure Applied to the Post-war Canadian Experience, *American Economic Review*, 67, pp.537-48

Guimarães R.F., Karagdag, C., 2004, The Empirics of Foreign Exchange Intervention in Emerging Market Countries: The Cases of Mexico and Turkey, Working Paper 04/123, IMF.

Hernández, L., Montiel, P., 2001, Post-Crisis Exchange Rate Policy in Five Asian Countries: Filling in the 'Hollow Middle'?, Working Paper No.01/170, IMF.

Hoe Ee, K., Robinson, E., Lee, J., 2004, Managed Floating and Intermediate Exchange Rate Systems: The Singapore Experience, Staff Paper No.37, Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS).

Kawai, M., Takagi, S., 2000, Proposed Strategy for a Regional Arrangement in Post-Crisis East Asia, mimeo, The World Bank.

Kim, S., 2003, Monetary Policy, Foreign Exchange Intervention, and the Exchange Rate in a Unifying Framework, *Journal of International Economics*, 60, pp.355-386.

Kim, C.J., Lee, J.W., 2004, Exchange Rate Regime and Monetary Independence in the Post-Crisis East Asia: An Application of Regime-Switching Model with Endogenous Explanatory Variables, mimeo (November).

Kim, J.S., Li, Jie., Ozon, S., Rajan, R., Willett, T., 2004, Reserve Adequacy in Asia Revisited: New Benchmarks Based on the Size and Composition of Capital Flows, forthcoming in KIEP-Claremont conference volume.

Levy-Yeyati, E., Sturzenegger, F., 2002, Classifying Exchange Rate Regimes: Deeds vs. Words Working Paper, Department of Economics, Universidad Torcuato di Tella.

McKinnon, R., 2001, After the Crisis, the East Asian Dollar Standard Resurrected: An Interpretation of High-Frequency Exchange-Rate Pegging, in J. Stiglitz and S. Yusuf (eds.), *Rethinking the East Asian Miracle*, World Bank and Oxford University Press.

Oh, J., 2004, Exchange Rate Disparities and Needs of Policy Cooperation in East Asia, paper presented at the WEAI conference (Vancouver, June 20 - July 3).

Patnaik, I., 2003, India's Policy Stance on Reserves and the Currency, Working Paper No.108, Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations, New Delhi.

Pentecost, E.J., Van Hooydonk, C., Van Poeck, A., 2001, Measuring and Estimating Exchange Market Pressure in the EU, *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 20, pp.401-418.

Rajan, R., 2002, Exchange Rate Policy Options for Post-Crisis Southeast Asia: Is there a Case for Currency Baskets?, *The World Economy*, 25, pp.137-163.

Rajan, R., 2004, The US Current Account Deficit, Exchange Rate Flexibility and Asian Reserves, RIS Policy Brief No.11, New Delhi, January.

Rajan, R., 2005, The US Current Account Deficit and Reserve Stockpiling in Asia?: A New Bretton Woods System or a Ticking Time Bomb?, mimeo (January).

Rajan, R., Siregar, R., 2002, Choice of Exchange Rate Regime: Currency Board (Hong Kong) or Monitoring Band (Singapore)?, Australian Economic Papers, 41, pp.538-556.

Reinhart, C., 2000, Mirage of Floating Exchange Rates, American Economic Review, 90, pp.65-70.

Reinhart, C., Reinhart, V., 2003, Twin Fallacies about Exchange Rate Policy in Emerging Markets, Working Paper No.9670, NBER.

Reinhart, C.M., Rogoff, K., 2004, The Modern History of Exchange Rate Reinterpretation, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 119, pp.1-48.

Shambaugh, J., 2004, The Effect of Fixed Exchange Rates on Monetary Policy, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 119, pp.301-352.

Siregar, R., Rajan, R., 2003, Exchange Rate Policy and Reserve Management in Indonesia in the Context of East Asian Monetary Cooperation, mimeo (December).

Taguchi, H., 2004, The Post-crisis Exchange Rate Management in Selected East Asian Countries: Flexibility of Exchange Rate and Sensitivity, Working Paper No.8, COE-CAS, Waseda University.

Willett, T., 2004, Assessing Korea's Post Crisis Managed Float, prepared for a symposium at the Bank of Korea (Seoul: August).

Table 1
Highlights of Inflation Targeting Regimes in Selected Asian Countries

Country	Date	Target price index	Target horizon	Escape Clauses	Accountability	Target set by	Publication and accountability
Indonesia	May 1999	Core CPI (excluding food and energy)	1-2 years	none	None, but parliament can request reports at any time	Central Bank	Quarterly Inflation report, Annual report to public
Philippines	Dec 2001	Core CPI (excluding food and energy)	2 years	Yes, in the event of oil price shocks, food supply shocks	Public explanation of the nature of the breach and steps to address it	Central Bank	Quarterly inflation report, publication of monetary policy meetings
Thailand	Apr 2000	Core CPI (excluding food and energy)	Indefinite	None	Public explanation of breach and steps taken to address it	Central Bank in consultation with Government	Inflation Report, inflation forecasts and publication of models used
Korea	Jan 1998	Core CPI (excluding non-cereal agricultural products and petroleum products)	indefinite	Changes caused by major force	None	Central Bank in consultation with Government	Inflation report and submission to parliament, publication of monetary policy meetings

Source: Compiled by authors from Bank of Korea, Bank Indonesia, Bank of Thailand, Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas websites

Table 2
Standard Deviations Pre and Post Crisis

	ER/US		ER/Yen		REER		Mon Market Rate		D(NFA/MB(-1))	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Indonesia	0.24	6.09	2.87	6.42	1.57	4.88	1.97	2.67	9.72	7.93
Korea	0.79	2.29	2.69	2.89	1.15	1.48	1.28	0.10	3.25	13.33
Philippines	2.24	2.17	3.82	3.11	2.33	2.05	5.68	0.60	6.43	5.70
Thailand	0.50	2.11	2.57	3.01	1.08	1.37	2.26	0.25	4.55	3.59
Average	0.94	3.17	2.99	3.86	1.53	2.45	2.80	0.91	5.99	7.64
Malaysia	1.25	--	2.80	2.45	1.58	1.46	0.41	0.06	9.59	8.77
Australia	2.06	3.25	3.67	3.63	2.10	2.08	0.32	0.15	3.58	7.35
Canada	1.22	1.91	2.85	4.09	1.25	1.39	0.56	0.21	4.34	3.07
New Zealand	1.57	3.55	3.20	2.94	1.43	2.15	0.71	0.16	29.62	22.53
UK	3.25	2.29	3.87	2.86	1.76	1.22	0.64	0.82	6.73	0.54
USA	--	--	2.87	2.45	1.64	1.78	0.18	0.21	3.92	0.23
Average	2.03	2.75	3.29	3.19	1.64	1.73	0.48	0.31	9.64	6.74

Source: IMF IFS and ADB-ARIC data, monthly observations.

Notes: Standard deviations are calculated from percentage first differences (Exchange rates, and reserves/lagged money base), first differences (Interest rates).

Pre sample period: 1990:1 to 1997:3

Post Crisis data: 1999:6 2004:6 (except REER for East Asian countries, 1999:6 to 2004:5)

Table 3
OLS Estimates using Frankel and Wei (1994) Method

$$\text{Equation: } LC_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 US_t + \beta_2 JP_t + \mu_t$$




	Korea		Thailand		Indonesia		Malaysia	Philippines	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Pre	Post
β_0	0.00 (0.84)	-0.00 (-0.02)	0.00 (2.16)**	0.00 (0.88)	0.00 (14.78)†	0.00 (1.69)*	-0.00 (-0.55)	0.00 (0.67)	0.01 (2.61)†
β_1	0.93 (36.59)†	0.70 (4.74)†	0.84 (101.22)†	0.68 (5.23)†	0.99 (95.87)†	0.13 (0.36)	0.89 (21.27)†	1.10 (16.32)†	0.75 (5.53)†
β_2	0.11 (3.13)†	0.45 (3.80)†	0.11 (14.27)†	0.20 (1.83)*	0.02 (3.15)†	0.44 (1.64)	0.09 (1.81)*	-0.03 (-0.37)	0.06 (0.51)
R^2_{adj}	0.97	0.72	0.99	0.60	0.99	0.31	0.89	0.75	0.54
DW	1.92	1.74	2.06	1.98	1.97	2.01	1.80	1.92	2.20
Obs	87	61	87	61	97	61	87	87	61

Notes: *(**)(†), 10% (5%)(1%) significant levels, respectively

Malaysia post crisis regressions not included.


Korea pre crisis results, Indonesia pre and post crisis results contained serial correlation. To correct for this, Korea pre crisis and Indonesia post crisis model includes ARMA(1,1) terms and Indonesia post crisis includes ARMA(3,3) terms.

Figure 1: Exchange Rates, 1990-2004




Source: IMF IFS and ADB-ARIC.

Figure 2a: Standard Deviations of Local Exchange Rate Per US Dollar




Source: IMF IFS. Calculated as calendar year standard deviations of percentage first differences (Exchange rates, and reserves/lagged money base), first differences (Interest rates).


Figure 2b: Standard Deviations, Local Exchange Rate Per Yen

Source; IMF IFS. Calculated as per Figure 2.2a.

Figure 2c: Standard Deviations of REER




Source: ADB-ARIC. Calculated as per Figure 2.2a.

Figure 3: Standard Deviations of Interest Rates

Source: IMF IFS. Calculated as the annual standard deviation of monthly first differences.

Figure 4: Standard Deviations of Reserves (as a Ratio of Lagged Money Base)



Source: IMF IFS. Calculated as annual standard deviation of percentage monthly first differences of net foreign assets, scaled by lagged base money.

Figure 5a: Flexibility Index 1




Figure 5b





Figure 5c



Source: IMF IFS and ADB-ARIC

Figure 6a: Flexibility Index 2




Figure 6b





Figure 6c



Source: IMF IFS and ADB-ARIC

Figure 7: Kalman Filter Results





Figure 8: Kalman Filter Results



CIES DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

The CIES Discussion Paper series provides a means of circulating promptly papers of interest to the research and policy communities and written by staff and visitors associated with the Centre for International Economic Studies (CIES) at the Adelaide University. Its purpose is to stimulate discussion of issues of contemporary policy relevance among non-economists as well as economists. To that end the papers are non-technical in nature and more widely accessible than papers published in specialist academic journals and books. (Prior to April 1999 this was called the CIES Policy Discussion Paper series. Since then the former CIES Seminar Paper series has been merged with this series.)

Copies of CIES Policy Discussion Papers may be downloaded from our Web site at <http://www.adelaide.edu.au/cies/> or are available by contacting the Executive Assistant, CIES, School of Economics, Adelaide University, SA 5005 AUSTRALIA. Tel: (+61 8) 8303 5672, Fax: (+61 8) 8223 1460, Email: cies@adelaide.edu.au. Single copies are free on request; the cost to institutions is US\$5.00 overseas or A\$5.50 (incl. GST) in Australia each including postage and handling.

For a full list of CIES publications, visit our Web site at <http://www.adelaide.edu.au/cies/> or write, email or fax to the above address for our *List of Publications by CIES Researchers, 1989 to 1999* plus updates.

- 0503 Cavoli, Tony and Rajan, Ramkishen S., "Have Exchange Rate Regimes in Asia Become More Flexible Post Crisis? Re-visiting the evidence." January 2005
- 0502 Cavoli, Tony, "Sterilisation, Capital Mobility and Interest Rate Determination for East Asia" February 2005
- 0501 Marrewijk, Charles Van, "Basic Exchange Rate Theories" February 2005
- 0415 Griffiths, William and Webster, Elizabeth. "The Determinants of Research and Development and Intellectual Property Usage among Australian Companies, 1989 to 2002" December 2004
- 0414 Marrewijk, Charles Van and Koen G. Berden, "On the static and dynamic costs of trade restrictions" November 2004
- 0413 Anderson, Kym, Lee Ann Jackson and Chantal Pohl Nielsen "Genetically Modified Rice Adoption" November 2004
- 0412 Anderson, Kym, "The Challenge of Reducing Subsidies and Trade Barriers" November 2004
- 0411 Anderson, Kym and Lee Ann Jackson, "Standards, Trade and Protection: the case of GMOs", November 2004
- 0410 Anderson, Kym, Richard Damania and Lee Ann Jackson, "Trade, Standards, and the Political Economy of Genetically Modified Food", November 2004
- 0409 Anderson, Kym and Lee Ann Jackson, "Some Implications of GM Food Technology Policies for Sub-Saharan Africa", November 2004
- 0408 Anderson, Kym and Lee Ann Jackson, "GM Food Crop Technology and Trade Measures: Some economic Implications for Australia and New Zealand" November 2004
- 0407 Marrewijk, Charles Van, "An Introduction to International Money and Foreign Exchange Markets", October 2004
- 0406 Pontines, Victor and Reza Y. Siregar, "The Yen, The US dollar and The Speculative Attacks Against The Thailand Baht", October 2004
- 0405 Siregar, Reza and William E. James, "Designing an Integrated Financial Supervision Agency: Selected Lessons and Challenges for Indonesia", October 2004
- 0404 Pontines, Victor and Reza Y. Siregar, "Successful and Unsuccessful Attacks: Evaluating the Stability of the East Asian Currencies", August 2004
- 0403 Siregar, Reza and Ramkishen S. Rajan "Exchange Rate Policy and Reserve Management in Indonesia in the Context of East Asian Monetary Regionalism", August 2004

- 0402 Siregar, Reza “Interest Spreads and Mandatory Credit Allocations: Implications on Bank Loans to Small Businesses in Indonesia”, January 2004.
- 0401 Cavoli, Tony., Ramkishen S. Rajan, and Reza Siregar “A Survey of Financial Integration in East Asia: How Far? How Much Further to Go?”, January 2004.
- 0323 Rajan, Ramkishen., Reza Siregar and, Graham Bird “Examining the Case for Reserve Pooling in East Asia: Empirical Analysis”, September 2003.
- 0322 Chantal Pohl Nielsen and Kym Anderson “Golden Rice and the Looming GMO Trade Debate: Implication for the Poor”, July 2003.
- 0321 Anderson, Kym “How Can Agricultural Trade Reform Reduce Poverty?” July 2003.
- 0320 Damania, Richard and Erwin Bulte “Resources for Sale: Corruption, Democracy and the Natural Resource Curse”, July 2003.
- 0319 Anderson, Kym “Agriculture and Agricultural Policies in China and India Post-Uruguay Round”, July 2003.
- 0318 Bentick, Brian L. and Mervyn K Lewis, “Real Estate Speculation as a Source of Banking and Currency Instability: Lessons from the Asian Crisis”, July 2003.
- 0317 Barreto, Raul A. and Kaori Kobayashi, “Open Economy Schumpeterian Growth”, May 2003
- 0316 Barreto, Raul A. and Kaori Kobayashi, “Economic Integration and Endogenous Growth Revisited: Pro-competitive Gains from Trade in Goods and the Long Run Benefits to the Exchange of Ideas”, May 2003.
- 0315 Wilson, Patrick J. and Ralf Zurbruegg, “Trends and Spectral Response: An Examination of the US Realty Market”, May 2003.
- 0314 Esho, Neil and Anatoly Kirievsky, Damian Ward and Ralf Zurbruegg, “Law and the Demand for Property-Casualty Insurance Consumption”, May 2003. (Forthcoming in *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 2003).
- 0313 Wilson, Patrick J. and Ralf Zurbruegg, “Does it Pay to Diversify Real Estate Assets? - A Literary Perspective”, May 2003.
- 0312 Rajan, Ramkishen, “Taxing International Currency Flows: A Financial Safeguard or Financial Bonanza?”, April 2003.
- 0311 Rajan, Ramkishen, “Financial Crisis, Capital Outflows and Policy Responses: Simple Analytics and Examples from East Asia”, April 2003.
- 0310 Cavoli, Tony and Ramkishen Rajan, “Exchange Rate Arrangements for East Asia Post-Crisis: Examining the Case for Open Economy Inflation Targeting”, April 2003.
- 0309 Cavoli, Tony and Ramkishen Rajan, “Designing Appropriate Exchange Rate Regimes for East Asia: Inflation Targeting and Monetary Policy Rules”, April 2003.
- 0308 Allsopp, Louise, “Speculative Behaviour, Debt Default and Contagion: An Explanation of the Latin American Crisis 2001-2002”, March 2003.
- 0307 Barreto, Raul. A., A Model of State Infrastructure with Decentralized Public Agents: Theory and Evidence, March 2003.
- 0306 Pardey, Philip G., Julian M. Alston, Connie Chan-Kang, Eduardo C. Magalhães, and Stephen A. Vosti, “Assessing and Attributing the Benefits from Varietal Improvement Research: Evidence from Embrapa, Brazil”, March 2003.
- 0305 Allsopp, Louise, “Venezuela: A Nation In Need of Reform”, March 2003.
- 0304 Allsopp, Louise and Ralf Zurbruegg, “Purchasing Power Parity in East Asia: Why all the Fuss?”, March 2003.
- 0303 Allsopp, Louise and Ralf Zurbruegg, “Purchasing Power Parity and the Impact of the East Asian Currency Crisis”, March 2003.
- 0302 Siregar, Reza and Ramkishen Rajan, “Exchange Rate Policy and Foreign Exchange Reserves Management in Indonesia in the Context of East Asian Monetary Regionalism”, March 2003.
- 0301 Jackson, Lee Ann, “Protectionist Harmonization of Food Safety Policies in the Asia-Pacific Region”, January 2003.