

## TERMS OF REFERENCE

### REVIEW OF THE *[insert broad name of programs, eg; undergraduate programs in (insert)]* OFFERED BY THE FACULTY OF *[insert name of Faculty]*

The *[insert name of School]* offers a range of *[undergraduate and postgraduate programs in (insert)]* as follows:

- *[List programs under review]*
- 
- 
- 

*Insert brief text about programs under review including:*

- *Key aims*
- *Duration*
- *Double Degree and/or Offshore Offerings*

*Insert the following text if relevant:*

*The focus of the double degree programs above is to be limited to matters associated with the *[insert main degree description]* components of those degrees.*

The review is to take place in the context of the University's [2008-2012 Strategic Plan, Building a Great Research University](#) and as part of the University's five-year program review cycle. In conducting the review, the Panel will take into account the views of current staff, students and external stakeholders, including employers, alumni, and representatives of relevant professions and other relevant academic areas of the University.

The Review Panel is asked to consider and make recommendations on:

1. The structure, content, quality and overall coherence of the programs;
2. The appropriateness and effectiveness of teaching methodologies to deliver the aims and objectives of the programs;
3. The destinations and outcomes for graduates who complete the program;
4. The student profile of the programs and future demand and growth opportunities; and
5. The programs' performance and outcomes, benchmarked against similar programs at Go8 universities or similar programs in other Australian universities and relevant international institutions.

*Insert additional Terms of Reference*

*NB: while the use of the above standard Terms of Reference is recommended, the Executive Dean can suggest modified or alternative Terms of Reference in order to address any particular issue specific to the program(s) concerned, while also being cognisant of priorities determined by the University's strategic direction.*

In making its recommendations, the review panel should assume that there is unlikely to be an increase in the resources provided from internal University funds, but is invited to indicate the highest priorities for the use of extra resources should they be made available.