Student name:				Assessor:		Date:	
Assessment matrix	for: S	Semester 2 performance		Grade			
Facet of Work		Third	IIB	IIA	I	OUTSTANDING	
		Students achieves a minimal number of objectives (50-60)	Students achieves some of the Objectives (60-70)	Students achieves a majority of the original set of objectives (70-80)	Students achieves the original set of Objectives (80-90)	Students achieves beyond the original set of objectives (90+)	
A. Students embark on inquiry and so determine a need for knowledge / understanding	10%	Project objectives not specific enough to guide the project	☐ Individual project objectives are clear, however do not give coherent guidance for project	Project objectives are clearly focussed and fit together to form a clear overall plan for a closed inquiry	Student clearly focuses objectives to guide an effective open inquiry project	Student articulates objectives that have potential for new lines of inquiry	
B. Students find/generate needed information / data / ideas using appropriate approach / method	10% 10% 10%	☐ Search is too narrow/too superficial ☐ Information is from low quality sources and suited to a closed inquiry ☐ Little evidence of an effective search strategy	□ Search includes a number of research-based studies on a topic defined by lecturer □ Information is from mixed quality sources and suited to a closed inquiry □ Moderate evidence of an effective search strategy for closed inquiry	□ Search includes key research-based studies on a topic defined by lecturer □ Information is consistently from high quality sources and suited to a closed inquiry □ Extensive evidence of an effective search strategy for closed inquiry	□ Search includes a number of research-based studies on topic defined by student □ Information is consistently from high quality sources and suited to an open inquiry □ Extensive evidence of an effective search strategy for open inquiry	□ Search includes key research-based studies on topic defined by student □ Information is from high quality sources, spanning multiple source types and suited to open inquiry □ Outstanding evidence of an effective search strategy for open inquiry	
C. Students critically evaluate information / data / ideas, their approach, methods and results, and react appropriately	10%	□ Little or no evidence of critical evaluation of information / data / ideas □ Achieved results of little or no technical merit	□ Some evidence of critical evaluation of information / data / ideas in most cases □ Achieved results of low technical merit	Clear evidence of critical evaluation of information / data / ideas in all cases Achieved results of moderate technical merit	□ Strong evidence of critical evaluation of information / data / ideas in all cases, extending to open inquiry □ Achieved results of good technical merit	 Extensive evidence of critical evaluation of information / data / ideas in all cases, extending to open inquiry Achieved results of high technical merit 	
D. Students perform necessary processes to meet stated project objectives	3% 3% 2% 2% 3%	□ Sporadic progress □ Missed milestones regularly □ Disengaged with project □ Meetings infrequent, undocumented □ No collaborative methods in evidence □ Do not update project plan in wake of circumstances	□ Intermittent progress □ Missed some milestones □ Somewhat engaged with project □ Meetings infrequent, adequately documented □ Basic collaborative methods in evidence □ Rarely re-visit project plan for updates	□ Steady progress □ Missed milestones occasionally □ Generally engaged with project □ Meetings regular, reasonably documented □ Some collaborative methods in evidence □ Occasionally re-visit project plan for updates	□ Rapid progress □ Missed milestones rarely □ Well engaged with project □ Meetings frequent, well documented □ Effective collaborative methods in evidence □ Regularly re-visit project plan for updates	□ Very rapid progress □ No missed milestones □ Highly engaged with project □ Meetings frequent, meticulously documented □ Innovative and effective collaborative methods in evidence □ Often re-visit project plan for updates	
E. Students synthesise, applies and analyses new knowledge creatively	10%	prescribed formats with minimal interpretation.	☐ Reorganise existing knowledge in standard formats with little interpretation.	Synthesises and analyses information to construct emergent knowledge and asks, researchable questions.	Synthesises, analyse and applies information/data to fill recognised knowledge gaps and asks rigorous, researchable questions.	Synthesise, analyse and apply information/data to fill selfidentified gaps or extend knowledge and asks rigorous, researchable questions based on new understandings.	
F. Students communicate project objectives, achievements and the process	5% 5%	☐ Incoherent/inconsistent journal/logbook entries ☐ Primitive documentation system / minimal evidence in log books	☐ Somewhat coherent/ consistent journal/logbook entries ☐ Basic documentation system / some evidence in log books	☐ Generally coherent/consistent journal/logbook entries ☐ Good documentation system / good evidence in log books	□ Mostly coherent/consistent journal/logbook entries □ High quality documentation system / strong evidence in log books	☐ Highly coherent/consistent journal/logbook entries☐ Outstanding quality documentation system / very strong evidence in log books	
	5%	☐ Little or no evidence of awareness of project's ethical / social / cultural implications	☐ Some evidence of awareness of project's ethical / social / cultural implications	☐ Clear evidence of awareness of project's ethical / social / cultural implications	☐ Strong evidence of awareness of project's ethical / social / cultural implications	☐ Extensive evidence of awareness of project's ethical / social / cultural implications	

-Technical –

Management

Creativity

Communications

Comments:

A. Students embark on inquiry* and so determine a need for knowledge / understanding	
B. Students find/generate needed information / data / ideas using appropriate approach / method	
C. Students critically evaluate information / data / ideas, their approach and results, and react appropriately	
D. Students perform necessary processes to meet stated project objectives	
E. Students synthesise, applies and analyses new knowledge creatively	
F. Students communicate project objectives, achievements and the process	