

Literature Task 1: Marking Criteria

Human Biology, Semester 1, 2007

Marker: _____

Student Name: ______ Student ID: _____

	1	Student Autonomy Level 1	Student Autonomy Level 2	Student Autonomy Level 3
	Facet of Inquiry	Students research at the level of a closed enquiry and require a high degree of structure/ guidance	Students research at the level of a closed enquiry and require a some structure and guidance	Students research independently at the level of a closed enquiry
А.	Students embark on inquiry and so determine a need for knowledge/understanding	Identifies some peripheral or duplicated ideas as key	Identifies key ideas based on several sources	Identifies key ideas utilising all sources
B.	Students find/generate needed information/data using appropriate methodology	 Points/notes generated partially relate to the headings under which they are listed (some points not relevant to heading) Notes produced are sourced predominantly from 1 text only 	 Points/notes generated elaborate on the key ideas to which they are linked, but relevant data from some sources omitted, e.g. Notes produced draw on ideas 	 Points/notes generated fully and completely elaborate on the key ideas to which they are linked Notes produced draw on ideas from all texts
			from several texts	
С.	Students critically evaluate information/data and the process to find/generate this information/data	Identifies indicators of source credibility and reliability but does not fully apply them in evaluating data or process	Identifies several relevant indicators of source credibility and reliability and provides appropriate rationale for usage/ inclusion of information	Identifies a wide range of indicators of source credibility and reliability and fully applies these in selection of data for inclusion
D.	Students organise information collected or generated	 Has attempted a note-taking framework, but information is organised predominantly as a list of undifferentiated bullet points Report structure follows general layout of notes, and has a beginning, middle and end 	 Uses a hierarchical note-taking framework that organises related information under the appropriate key headings Report based on notes; Ideas/data linked within sections/paragraphs, but no clear linkage between sections Poor linkage of:	 Uses a hierarchical note-taking framework that appropriately organises related information according to sub-headings under key headings All sections of report linked with coherent flow both within and between sections
E.	Students synthesise, analyse and apply new knowledge	 Produces point form notes (information not directly copied or in sentence format) but notes are separated according to source Report largely restates original data with minimal integration across sources 	 Combines and integrates ideas/data from different sources to generate notes, but some inaccuracies or misinterpretations evident Report presents integrated ideas/ data but overall theme closely resembles that of original sources 	 Combines and integrates ideas/data from different sources to generate notes that accurately reflect sentiment/ideas portrayed in the original sources Report incorporates paraphrasing of data/ideas and presents "new" interpretations/ context from that of original source(s)
F.	Students communicate knowledge and the process used to generate it with an awareness of ethical, social and cultural issues	 Title is present Partial and/or incorrect acknowledgement of sources within notes and/or report Partial/incorrect reference list provided 	 Title relates to the key ideas within the report, but requires some refinement Full acknowledgement of all sources within notes and report Reference list contains all sources cited 	 Title clearly and succinctly reflects contents of the report Full and correct acknowledgement of all sources within notes and report, with differentiation between quotation and paraphrase Reference list contains all sources cited and follows referencing conventions