## ---- Facet of Inquiry

## **Electronic Engineering Masters Program: Marking Criteria for Photonics Paper**

Student Name:	Student Number: Marker: Marker:			
	<b>-</b>	——— Level of Student Autonomy ———		
	Level 1 Students research at the level of a closed inquiry* and require a high degree of structure/guidance	Level 2 Students research at the level of a closed inquiry* and require a moderate degree of structure/guidance	Level 3 Students research independently at the level of a closed inquiry*	
A. Students embark on inquiry and so determine a need for knowledge/ understanding	☐ Significance of the paper is stated, but not based on leads from, or gaps in, the literature	□ Significance of the paper is stated explicitly and is based on leads from, or gaps in, a limited number of references	☐ Significance of the paper is stated explicitly, and is based on leads from, or gaps in a substantial number of sources	
B. Students find/generate needed information/data using appropriate methodology	A limited search strategy, demonstrated by a narrow range of sources, eg 1 or 2 different journals.	□ Search strategy uses several different sources <i>types</i> , e.g. journals and books	<ul> <li>Quality search strategy demonstrated by multiple source types</li> </ul>	
o,	□ Paper is partially on-topic, but does not keep its focus and/or is based on unreliable sources	<ul> <li>Paper generally keeps its focus, and/or is based on several sources of variable reliability</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Paper is highly focused and draws on a range of reliable sources</li> </ul>	
C. Students critically evaluate information/data and the process to find/generate	Implies/confers equal status to unbacked assertions and evidence-based findings	□ Distinguishes unbacked assertions from evidence- based findings	□ Distinguishes between the quality of different evidence-based findings	
E. Students organise information collected/ generated	□ Logical structure is missing / inappropriate.  Missing/modifications		□ Logical structure is present / appropriate, and has a high level of coherence ———————————————————————————————————	
F. Students synthesise and analyse new knowledge	□ Limited synthesis of literature □ Literature restated with minor analysis	□ Literature is well synthesised □ The literature is compared or contrasted □ Literature is well synthesised	□ The synthesis of the literature produces a novel understanding or perspective □ The literature is compared and contrasted □ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
G. Students communicate knowledge and understanding and the	☐ Title is present but provides minimal information about the paper	☐ Title portrays a general or limited sense of the paper	dimensions of the paper	
process used to generate them	<ul><li>Some referencing, but does not follow the appropriate conventions</li></ul>	<ul><li>Appropriate referencing style is applied, but with some errors</li></ul>	<ul><li>Appropriate referencing style is applied consistently</li></ul>	

* Inquiry may range from elected (lecturer specified) to open (student specified) in terms of: i) question, hypothesis or aim of respective or equipment; iii) answer, resolution or further inquiry							
* Inquiry may range from closed (le	ecturer specified) to open (student specified) in terms of: i) d	juestion, hypothesis or aim of research; ii) procedure or equ	ilpment; III) answer, resolution or further inquiry.				

## For 2007

Facet A, Level IV Clearly identifies research gaps and evaluates how effectively they may be addressed, and how useful it would be doing so.

This is level 4 we think, because it requires a higher degree of autonomy to do this that the present level 3 Facet A (unless you go out of your way to model and scaffold this).

Grade:			

**Additional Comments:** 

