RPLT Assessment 1

Small-group Research Wiki and Presentation

Including

- evidence of impact on, or planning for, students’ awareness of ethical, cultural and social issues.
- evidence of impact on, or planning for student communication and teamwork utilising inclusive group-work strategies

Due Date: 8 September for Wiki, 9 September for presentation
Weighting: 50%

INTRODUCTION

Your group will utilise the literature, peers and students as reflective surfaces and a wiki to develop and assess the research processes that your group engages in. It does this by the formation of small groups for collaborative research into a learning and teaching issue of common interest to collaboratively develop a wiki. It needs to take into consideration a priori elements of students’ awareness of ethical, cultural and/or social issues, e.g. through internationalisation of the curriculum. It must also consider inclusive group-work strategies. You have 6 weeks only; keep it focussed and manageable.

A rationale of research for learning is that students, as novices, may gain some experiences paralleling those of experts. Students therefore may acquire deepened understanding of a specific aspect of a course and skills and attitudes associated with inquiry. Interaction with some content is maximised, and so depth more than width is facilitated. However, students may first need to skim the wider surface of issues before diving down into selected depths. As experts in your disciplines, you too may find research a useful way to develop expertise in the teaching and learning of your discipline.

Examples of major topics that small groups may research for this course include:

A) learning and teaching issue(s) associated with ‘small-group’ learning
B) learning and teaching issue(s) associated with ‘large-group’ learning
C) developing student research skills in the curriculum
D) issues of post graduate supervision
E) nominate an issue

You need to choose 1 topic, and join in an inquiry with others who have a similar interest.
ASSESSED PROGRAM GRADUATE ATTRIBUTES

Graduate Attribute 5 Facilitates student communication and teamwork utilising inclusive group-work strategies. Evidences teamwork with colleagues.

Graduate Attribute 7 Is involved in a collegial support system within discipline or intra-discipline, focusing on aspects of teaching and learning.

Graduate Attribute 8 Explicitly facilitates students’ awareness of ethical, social and cultural issues and their importance in the exercise of professional skills and responsibilities.

*(see assessment rubric for details of marking criteria)*

WIKI COMPLETION AND PRESENTATION DATE:

8 September 2014 for Wiki completion.

9 September 2014 for Presentation (+ 2 page summary).

TASK OVERVIEW

In this small-group inquiry, each member will work collaboratively to:

- generate a clear, focussed and innovative research question or aim
- facilitate in an innovative manner, students’ awareness of ethical/social/cultural issues and teamwork/communication
- utilise multiple source types for finding quality information (eg scientific catalogues, library databases, search engines)
- allocate group roles to manage workflow, and clearly detail this on a dedicated page of the wiki.
- identify a wide range of indicators of source credibility and reliability and apply these in your selection of information/data for inclusion.
- generate content relevant to question or project aim and draw on a wide range of sources.
- incorporate paraphrasing of information and present ‘new’ interpretations/context from that of original sources.
- Use a team approach to reviewing, evaluating, revising and editing group content contributions.
- use a wide variety of strategies to organise the Wiki with coherent linkage between and within all sections
- present a clear plan of use of findings
- utilise evidence when you self-assesses your research process w.r.t. Facets A to F

*In the Oral presentation (See Facet F of the marking criteria)*

- communicate with a highly coherent presentation with clear and concise information
- represent strongly & explicitly ethical dimensions and/or or socio-cultural dimensions
- integrate tightly the visual and spoken elements
- engaged audience in a way that provokes questions and comments
- present collaboratively, with the inclusion of whole team

**TIME REQUIREMENT (31 HOURS TOTAL)**

- 1 hour in class time \(\times 6\)
- 4 hours out of class time \(\times 6\) (~3 hour research, 1 hour ‘meeting’)
- 1 hour for each presentations in week 7

**SESSION 1 TASK**

Decide on general research aim/question(s), allocate tasks and determine face-to-face meeting times and wiki contributions.

1. **Discuss the ‘Task Overview’, above (15 minutes)**

   Facets A to E concern the assessment of your research processes, including teamwork, through your use of the wiki. Note: your group will be required to submit a self-assessment, using Facets A to E, of your research process as evidenced by the wiki. You are also required to peer-assess another groups wiki, and respond to a peer-assessment of your wiki.

2. **Brainstorm Research Questions (30 minutes)**

   Brainstorm possible research questions on your topic. At this stage, the research questions may be specific or general and you may decide on one for the whole group, or for members to consider different components.

3. **Allocate tasks and determine ‘meetings’ (15 minutes)**

   Determine a plan of action for the next seven days:
   - will someone focus on library-based resources, someone on internet and someone on journals?
   - will each member contribute a summary of, say, 1 source to the wiki
   - Where, when, how will you meet, and what will your objective(s) be?
   - Is there any initiative that may be trialled with students sometime over the next few weeks?
   - What will be the best use of the hour allocated to this task in Session 2? Can you plan this now?

**ASSESSMENT OVERVIEW**

i) Each group’s wiki will be marked using Facets A to E in the marking rubric on the next page.

ii) A panel-of-experts will appraise, using Facet F of the rubric, each groups collaborative presentation of their research/project outcomes.

iii) **Each group will self-assess their wiki (at the group level), using facets A to E.**

The presentations will occur on Tuesday 4th September (and 11 September if necessary). A 2-page summary of your findings is necessary for the audience and the panel.
RPLT Assessment 1 Coversheet

Your Name__________________________________________________________

Assignment Title _____________________________________________________

Submission date (actual) _______

Time required to do this assessment, including readings ____________

Word Count (not including references) ______

Do you give permission for this assessment to be used as an example for other Graduate Certificate in Higher Education participants? If so, sign below:

Signed ______________________________

Date ________________

Would you prefer it to be used anonymously or with attribution?_________________

Do you give permission for this assessment to be used on the CLPD website? If so, sign below:

Signed ______________________________

Date ________________

Would you prefer it to be used anonymously or with attribution?_________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team Names</th>
<th>Small-group Research Wiki and Presentation Marking Rubric (50% weighting)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unsatisfactory</strong></td>
<td>Participants would benefit from more structure and guidance in the below elements (1-4 for each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Satisfactory</strong></td>
<td>Participants’ research has flaws and/or is restricted in innovative elements (5-6 for each criteria)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highly Satisfactory</strong></td>
<td>Participants engage successfully in open research within structured guidelines (7-10 for each criteria)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Embark &amp; Clarify</strong></td>
<td>Respond to or initiate research and clarify or determine what knowledge is required, heeding ECST.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Inquiry aim/research question(s) not clearly stated on wiki or inappropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Inquiry implicitly considers students ethical/social/cultural issues and teamwork/communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Content generated is relevant to the inquiry but primarily drawn from one sources type only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Allocation of group roles to manage workflow is briefly outlined on a dedicated page of the wiki.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Find &amp; Generate</strong></td>
<td>Find and generate needed information/data using appropriate methodology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Search strategy is demonstrated by a single source type (eg internet only) for finding information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Content generated is relevant to the inquiry but primarily drawn from one sources type only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Allocation of group roles to manage workflow is briefly outlined on a dedicated page of the wiki.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Evaluate &amp; Reflect</strong></td>
<td>Determine and critique the degree of credibility of selected sources, information and data generated. Metacognitively reflect on the research processes used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Justifies use/inclusion of information, data and research processes without reference to relevant indicators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Minimal evidence of a team approach to reviewing, revising and editing group content contributions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Group self-assessment is ad-hoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. Organise &amp; Manage</strong></td>
<td>Organise information and data to reveal patterns and themes, and manage teams and research processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The group use basic strategies to organise the wiki (eg headings, dot points etc.) but with little flow or connection. Problems with:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. Analyse &amp; Synthesise</strong></td>
<td>Analyse information/data critically and synthesise new knowledge to produce coherent team understandings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Content largely restates information from original sources used, with minimal integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Applications of findings are left implicit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F. Communicate &amp; Apply</strong></td>
<td>Write, present and perform the processes, understandings and applications of the research, and respond to feedback, accounting for ethical, cultural, social and team (ECST) issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Communicates without coherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Represents peripherally ethical, social, cultural and inclusive group-work dimensions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Visual and spoken elements are not well integrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Unengaged audience, as shown by a lack of questions, comments and/or interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Presentation lacks collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Communicates clear or concise information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Represents ethical dimensions and/or socio-cultural dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Visual and spoken elements are to some extent integrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Mildly engaged audience, shown by some questions, comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Preserves somewhat collaboratively, yet one/ some dominant or passive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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