Project Title:			Assessor:		Date:
Final year project	assessment matrix for:	Final Performance	□ Supervisor □ Co-supervisor		
Facet of Work	E Students achieves a minimal number of objectives	D Students achieves some of the objectives	C Students achieves a majority of the original set of objectives	B Students achieves the original set of objectives	A Students achieves beyond the original set of objectives
A. Students embark on inquiry* and so determine a need for knowledge / understanding (10%)	☐ Project objectives not specific enough to guide the project	☐ Individual project objectives are clear, however do not give coherent guidance for project	☐ Project objectives are clearly focussed and fit together to form a clear overall plan for a closed inquiry	☐ Student clearly focuses objectives to guide an effective open inquiry project	Student articulates objectives of have potential for new lines of inquiry
B. Students find/generate needed information / data / ideas using appropriate approach / method (20%)	□ Search is too narrow/too superficial     □ Information is from low quality sources and suited to a closed inquiry     □ Little evidence of an effective search strategy	□ Search includes a number of research-based studies on a topic defined by lecturer     □ Information is from mixed quality sources and suited to a closed inquiry     □ Moderate evidence of an effective search strategy for closed inquiry	□ Search includes key research-based studies on a topic defined by lecturer     □ Information is consistently from high quality sources and suited to a closed inquiry     □ Extensive evidence of an effective search strategy for closed inquiry	□ Search includes a number of research-based studies on topic defined by student     □ Information is consistently from high quality sources and suited to an open inquiry     □ Extensive evidence of an effective search strategy for open inquiry	☐ Search includes key research-b studies on topic defined by student ☐ Information is from high quality sources, spanning multiple soutypes and suited to open inquiting outstanding evidence of an effective search strategy for opinquiry
C. Students critically evaluate information / data / ideas, their approach and results, and react appropriately (30%)	<ul> <li>□ Progress is not satisfactory with respect to plan</li> <li>□ Little or no evidence of critical evaluation of information / data / ideas</li> <li>□ Achieved results of little or no technical merit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Progress is barely satisfactory with respect to plan</li> <li>□ Some evidence of critical evaluation of information / data / ideas in most cases</li> <li>□ Achieved results of low technical merit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Progress is mostly satisfactory with respect to plan</li> <li>□ Clear evidence of critical evaluation of information / data / ideas in all cases</li> <li>□ Achieved results of moderate technical merit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Progress is highly satisfactory with respect to plan</li> <li>□ Strong evidence of critical evaluation of information / data / ideas in all cases, extending to open inquiry</li> <li>□ Achieved results of good technical merit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Progress is beyond expectation with respect to plan</li> <li>□ Extensive evidence of critical evaluation of information / da ideas in all cases, extending to open inquiry</li> <li>□ Achieved results of high technimerit</li> </ul>
D. Students perform necessary processes to meet stated project objectives (15%)	☐ Sporadic progress ☐ Missed milestones regularly ☐ Disengaged with project	☐ Intermittent progress ☐ Missed some milestones ☐ Somewhat engaged with project	☐ Regular progress ☐ Missed milestones occasionally ☐ Generally engaged with project	☐ Rapid progress ☐ Missed milestones rarely ☐ Well engaged with project	☐ Very rapid progress ☐ No missed milestones ☐ Highly engaged with project
E. Students organize themselves effectively and adequately manage human input to project (10%)	□ Meetings infrequent,     undocumented     □ No collaborative methods in     evidence     □ Do not update project plan in wake     of circumstances	□ Meetings infrequent, adequately documented     □ Basic collaborative methods in evidence     □ Rarely re-visit project plan for updates	□ Meetings regular, reasonably documented     □ Some collaborative methods in evidence     □ Occasionally re-visit project plan for updates	□ Meetings frequent, well documented     □ Effective collaborative methods in evidence     □ Regularly re-visit project plan for updates	□ Meetings frequent, meticulous documented     □ Innovative and effective collaborative methods in evide     □ Often re-visit project plan for updates
F. Students communicate project objectives, achievements and the process (15%)	□ Incoherent/inconsistent journal/logbook entries □ Primitive documentation system / minimum evidence in log books □ Little or no evidence of awareness of project's ethical / social /	□ Somewhat coherent/ consistent journal/logbook entries     □ Basic documentation system / some evidence in log books     □ Some evidence of awareness of project's ethical / social / cultural implications.	□ Coherent/consistent     journal/logbook entries     □ Good documentation system /     good evidence in log books      □ Clear evidence of awareness of     project's ethical / social / cultural     implications	□ Mostly coherent/consistent     journal/logbook entries     □ High quality documentation     system / strong evidence in log     books     □ Strong evidence of awareness of     project's ethical / social / cultural	<ul> <li>☐ Highly coherent/consistent journal/logbook entries</li> <li>☐ Outstanding quality documentation system / very strong evidence in log books</li> <li>☐ Extensive evidence of awarene project's ethical / social / cultimplications</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup> Inquiry may range from closed (supervisor specified) to open (student specified) in terms of: i) research question; ii) method, algorithm or hardware equipment; iii) interpreting result, evaluating hardware equipment or proposing future inquiry.

## Comments:

A. Students embark on inquiry* and so determine a need for knowledge / understanding (10%)	
B. Students find/generate needed information / data / ideas using appropriate approach / method (20%)	
C. Students critically evaluate information / data / ideas, their approach and results, and react appropriately (30%)	
D. Students perform necessary processes to meet stated project objectives (15%)	
E. Students organize themselves effectively and adequately manage human input to project (10%)	
<b>F. Students communicate</b> project objectives, achievements and the process ( <b>15%)</b>	

Grade Assigned (A-E):

