INGL 2048 Adaptation. University of Adelaide, Semester 1, 2009

Major Essay

Name:	ID:	Class		
Question	Tues 9	Wed 1		
Text / Film	Wed 10	Thurs 1		

	Attempt below pass	Pass	Credit
Compliance with instructions	Failure to comply with instructions Major elements missing	Incomplete / imperfect compliance with instructions Elements missing or compromised	General compliance with instructions All elements present
Active response to earlier feedback	Rejoinder absent or seriously compromised	Rejoinder present but only superficially responsive or off topic	Rejoinder represents thoughtful, effective response to feedback
Tacit evidence of response to feedback	Does not appear to have paid attention to feedback No progress or deterioration in performance on issues identified	May have attended to feedback, but interpretation of feedback may be inappropriate and / or attempts to improve work may not be entirely effective	Appears to have attended to feedback Generally appropriate interpretation of feedback Generally successful application of feedback to improve work
Question analysis	Attempts to respond to questions/tasks arising explicitly from the essay question, but does not succeed in addressing key elements of the question Does not identify the question, or there is a radical mis-match between declared question and essay content.	Essay question declared Responds with an approximate degree of relevance to essay question, but May not explicitly or accurately identify key components of topic Declared question not explicitly pursued in the body of the essay or Declared question not consistently pursued in the body of the essay	Essay question declared Responds appropriately to the essay question Identifies key components of topic. Essay question consistently pursued through the body of the essay Argument may need to be more explicitly articulated / refined/ clarified
Handling of 'primary' sources (ie story/book and film)	Inadequately detailed or inaccurate representation of one source or the other Comparisons between sources not attempted, or not appropriate Radical imbalance in attention paid to either source (eg pays much more attention to the book than the film, or vice versa)	Superficial response to / analysis of representation of both sources Comparisons between sources may be attempted, but not entirely appropriate or effective. May be some imbalance in attention paid to either source	Adequately detailed response to / analysis of representation of both sources Profitable comparisons made between sources Balance achieved in attention paid to both sources

INGL 2048 Adaptation. University of Adelaide, Semester 1, 2009

Major Essay

Adequate		Inadequate research basis	Research basis just adequate	Solid research basis
research basis		Materials inappropriate or irrelevant	Relevance of some sources to the	Resources generally relevant to the
		to the topic and/or the discipline	topic / discipline debatable	topic and appropriate to the disciplin
Appropriate		Does not exhibit awareness of	May not exhibit awareness of	Exhibits awareness of indicators of
research effort		source credibility	indicators of source credibility or reliability	source credibility, but may not fully apply them
			Tenability	apply them
Synthesis and		Inappropriate handling /	Debatable (but not dishonest)	Generally appropriate reference to /
analysis of		representation of sources cited	representation of sources – may	quotation from sources
secondary sources			include inaccurate quotation Broadly based and superficial	Generally accurate representation of sources, but some inadvertent
			reporting on sources	misrepresentations or
			Quotation from sources not entirely	misinterpretations evident
			appropriate	Attempts articulation of ideas from
			May not articulate relevance of ideas	readings to argument
			from readings to argument	
Organisation & presentation of		Does not achieve appropriate essay	Essay format attempted, but effective structure not achieved	Essay format generally successfully achieved, but requires refinement:
materials		format Key elements missing eg	Editing for relevance of content	Articulation of relevance of content
inatonalo	-		needs work	reasonably effective
		Conclusion	Overall argumentative structure	Overall argumentative structure
		□ Other:	needs work	reasonably effective
			Logical sequencing of ideas needs work	Logical sequencing of ideas reasonably effective
			Argumentative paragraphing needs	Argumentative paragraphing
			work	reasonably effective
			Integration of quotations needs work	Integration of quotations reasonably successful
Communication		Major presentation problems	Adequate presentation	Generally appropriate presentation
skills		Major problems with spelling,	Partially conforms to spelling,	Generally conforms to spelling,
		grammar and academic conventions	grammar conventions	grammar conventions; minor errors
		Skills in written communication need	Inconsistencies / inappropriacies in	Generally appropriate style and tone
		work urgently	style, formatting and tone. Skills in written communication need	Solid skills in written communication
			work	
Citation		Referencing and/or	Citation attempted, but elements	Citation information generally
		acknowledgement of sources absent	missing Inaccurate formatting of citations	complete and formatting generally in Discipline style, but some minor
		or seriously deficient	leading to difficulty in interpretation	errors in applying conventions
			Citation not in Discipline style	

SD & Joy McEntee © 2008 www.adelaide.edu.au/clpd/rsd

Adaptation_essa